Critical Reasoning Test Battery

(Item Banked)

Psytech SA understanding people

South African User Guide and Research Reference

Contents

CRTBi User Guide	9
The CRTBi	9
Classification Status of The CRTBi	. 11
Conditions of Use and Professional Responsibilities	. 11
Purchasing CRTBi Materials and Scoring Services	. 11
Constructing of Test Batteries	. 11
Registration Requirements	. 11
The International Test Commission on Test Administration	. 12
Scoring of the CRTBi	. 13
Reporting on the CRTBi	. 13
Feedback on CRTBi Reports	. 14
A History of the CRTBi in South Africa	. 14
The Effect of Employment Equity in Recruitment and Selection Practices on Test	
Statistics	. 14
Some Cautionary Notes	. 15
Computer-Assisted Reports	. 16
How Do the Computer-Generated Reports Work?	. 16
What Are the Advantages of Computer-Generated Reports?	. 16
Are Computer-Assisted Reports Open to Abuse?	. 16
Classical Test Theory	. 17
Assumptions of Classical Test Theory	. 18
Administration Instructions	. 19
Verbal subtest administration instructions	. 19
Numerical subtest administration instructions	. 22
CRTBi South African Norm Data	. 26
Index of South African Norm Groups for The Critical Reasoning Test Battery – item-	
banked (CRTBi)	. 26
Critical Reasoning Test Battery – item-banked (CRTBi) – Norm Group 1, South Africans,	
Aggregate Population, Updated 2021	. 27
Sample Composition	. 27
Critical Numerical Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition	. 27
Frequency table: Sex	. 27
Frequency table: Education	. 27
Frequency table: Race	. 28
Frequency table: Language	. 28

Frequency table: Language Group	28
Descriptive Statistics: Age	29
Critical Verbal Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition	30
Frequency table: Sex	30
Frequency table: Education	30
Frequency table: Race	
Frequency table: Language	31
Frequency table: Language Group	31
Descriptive Statistics: Age	31
Descriptive statistics and frequency distributions on Critical Reasoning Test Batte	ry subtests
	33
Critical Numerical Reasoning Test	33
Descriptive Statistics	33
Critical Verbal Reasoning Test	34
Descriptive Statistics: Age	34
Stanine table	35
Critical Reasoning Test Battery – item-banked (CRTBi) – Norm Group 2	
South Africans, English Language Group, Updated 2021	
Sample composition	
Critical Numerical Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition	
Frequency table: Sex	
Frequency table: Education	
Frequency table: Race	
Frequency table: Language	
Frequency table: Language Group	
Descriptive Statistics: Age	
Critical Verbal Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition	
Frequency table: Sex	
Frequency table: Education	
Frequency table: Race	
Frequency table: Language	
Frequency table: Language Group	
Descriptive Statistics: Age	
Descriptive Statistics and Frequency Distributions on Critical Reasoning Test Batt	ery – item-
banked Subtests	41
Critical Numerical Reasoning Test	41
Descriptive Statistics	41

Critical Verbal Reasoning Test	. 41
Descriptive Statistics	. 41
Stanine table	. 42
Stanine Groups	. 42
Critical Reasoning Test Battery – item-banked (CRTBi) – Norm Group 3	. 43
South Africans, Afrikaans Language Group, Updated 2021	. 43
Sample Composition	. 43
Critical Numerical Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition	. 43
Frequency table: Sex	. 43
Frequency table: Education	. 43
Frequency table: Race	. 43
Frequency table: Language	. 44
Frequency table: Language Group	. 44
Descriptive Statistics: Age	. 44
Critical Verbal Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition	. 45
Frequency table: Sex	. 45
Frequency table: Education	. 45
Frequency table: Race	. 46
Frequency table: Language	. 46
Frequency table: Language Group	. 46
Descriptive Statistics: Age	. 46
Descriptive Statistics and Frequency Distributions on Critical Reasoning Test Battery - ite	em-
banked Subtests	. 48
Critical Numerical Reasoning Test	. 48
Descriptive Statistics	. 48
Critical Verbal Reasoning Test	. 48
Critical Reasoning Test Battery – item-banked (CRTBi) – Norm Group 4 South Africans,	
Indigenous Language Group, Updated 2021	. 50
Sample Composition	. 50
Critical Numerical Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition	. 50
Frequency table: Sex	. 50
Frequency table: Education	. 50
Frequency table: Race	. 50
Frequency table: Language	. 51
Frequency table: Language Group	. 51
Frequency table: Sex	. 51
Descriptive Statistics: Age	. 51

Critical Verbal Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition	. 52
Frequency table: Education	. 52
Frequency table: Race	. 53
Frequency table: Language	. 53
Frequency table: Language Group	. 53
Descriptive Statistics: Age	. 53
Descriptive Statistics and Frequency Distributions on Critical Reasoning Test Battery - ite	em-
banked Subtests	. 55
Critical Numerical Reasoning Test	. 55
Descriptive Statistics	. 55
Critical Verbal Reasoning Test	. 55
Descriptive Statistics	. 55
Stanine table	. 56
Index of Reliability Studies Done on The Critical Reasoning Test Battery – item-banked	
(CRTBi)	. 57
Critical Reasoning Test Battery - item-banked (CRTBi)- Reliability Group 1 South African	s,
Aggregate Population, Updated 2021	. 58
Sample Composition	. 58
Critical Numerical Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition	. 58
Frequency table: Sex	. 58
Frequency table: Education	. 58
Frequency table: Race	. 58
Frequency table: Language	. 59
Frequency table: Language Group	. 59
Descriptive Statistics: Age	. 60
Critical Verbal Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition	. 60
Frequency table: Sex	. 60
Frequency table: Education	. 60
Frequency table: Race	. 61
Frequency table: Language	. 61
Frequency table: Language Group	. 61
Descriptive Statistics: Age	. 62
Internal Consistency Reliabilities for the Critical Reasoning Test Battery - item-banked	
Subtests	. 63
Critical Reasoning Test Battery – item-banked (CRTBi)- Reliability Group 2	. 64
South Africans, English Language Group, Updated 2021	. 64
Sample Composition	64

Critical Numerical Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition	64
Frequency table: Sex	64
Frequency table: Education	64
Frequency table: Race	65
Frequency table: Language	65
Frequency table: Language Group	65
Descriptive Statistics: Age	65
Critical Verbal Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition	67
Frequency table: Education	67
Frequency table: Race	67
Frequency table: Language	67
Frequency table: Language Group	67
Frequency table: Sex	68
Descriptive Statistics: Age	68
Internal Consistency Reliabilities for the Critical Reasoning Test Battery – item-banked	
Subtests	69
Critical Reasoning Test Battery – item-banked (CRTBi) – Reliability Group 3 South Africa	ans,
Afrikaans Language Group, Updated 2021	70
Sample Composition	70
Critical Numerical Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition	70
Frequency table: Sex	70
Frequency table: Education	70
Frequency table: Race	70
Frequency table: Language	71
Frequency table: Language Group	71
Descriptive Statistics	71
Critical Verbal Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition	73
Frequency table: Sex	73
Frequency table: Education	73
Frequency table: Race	73
Frequency table: Language	73
Frequency table: Language Group	73
Descriptive Statistics	74
Internal Consistency Reliabilities for the Critical Reasoning Test Battery – item-banked	ł
Subtests	75
Critical Reasoning Test Battery – item-banked (CRTBi) – Reliability Group 4 South Africa	ans,
Indigenous Language Group, Updated 2021	76

Sample Composition	76
Critical Numerical Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition	76
Frequency table: Sex	76
Frequency table: Education	76
Frequency table: Race	76
Frequency table: Language	77
Frequency table: Language Group	77
Descriptive Statistics	77
Critical Verbal Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition	78
Frequency table: Sex	78
Frequency table: Education	78
Frequency table: Race	79
Frequency table: Language	79
Frequency table: Language Group	79
Descriptive Statistics	79
Internal Consistency Reliabilities for the Critical Reasoning Test Battery - item-banked	
Subtests	80
T-Test Results – CRTBi and CRTB2	81
CRTBi and CRTB2 Verbal T-test	81
CRTBi and CRTB2 Numerical t-test	82
ANOVAs and Power Analyses - CRTBi	83
Sample Composition	83
Sex	83
Education Level	84
Race	85
Language Group	85
Power Analyses	86
Sex	87
Descriptive Statistics: Sex='M'	87
Descriptive Statistics: Sex='F'	87
Education Level	88
Descriptive Statistics: Education Level='Tertiary'	88
Descriptive Statistics: Education Level='Non-Tertiary'	88
English First Language or Second Language	88
Descriptive Statistics: English First Language	88
Descriptive Statistics: English Second Language	88
Race	89

Descriptive Statistics: Race = European	89
Descriptive Statistics: Race = African	89
Descriptive Statistics: Race = Other	89
European and African	90
European and Other	90
Executive Summary	91
Bibliography	92
Reliability	
SEM	92
CAT	92
ITC	92
Fluid and crystallized intelligence	

CRTBi User Guide

This user guide is for South African users, and will be updated regularly as new research evidence becomes available. Studies will be added to the various sections as they are completed. The date when it was last updated appears on every study.

The CRTBi

Critical Reasoning is an ability that is central to all roles that require the incumbent to make logical decisions based on complex information. The CRTBi (Critical Reasoning Test Battery – item banked) is an item banked assessment that has been designed to assess critical reasoning ability and is available for unsupervised administration via Psytech International's online testing platform. The CRTBi comprises two sub-tests which measure verbal and numerical critical reasoning. These can be administered either individually or together.

The CRTBi is similar in difficulty level to the CRTB2 battery, however the CRTBi is intended to be administered unsupervised (i.e., controlled mode). Unsupervised administration of classical psychometric tests (not item-banked) increases the risk of practice effects and respondents helping one another to obtain higher scores. The CRTBi is widely used by Psytech International's partners and users internationally.

What the CRTBi	The Verbal Critical Reasoning subtest measures the ability to					
measures	understand and accurately draw logical conclusions and inferences					
	from complex reports. Consequently, it forms a key assessment for					
	managerial and professional roles which require accurate					
	interpretation of written reports and rational decision making.					
	The Numerical Critical Reasoning subtest measures the ability to					
	understand and critically evaluate a wide range of numerical data and					
	draw logical conclusions from this. Consequently, it forms a key					
	assessment for managerial and professional roles which require the					
	ability to understand financial, numerical and statistical information.					
Who can the	The CRTBi can identify people who:					
CRTBi identify	Weigh up evidence logically					
	Take ill informed decisions					
	Identify trends in data					
	Fail to grasp numerical concepts					

	Isolate the key points in an argument						
	Overlook core information						
	Understand complex arguments						
	Struggle to grasp complex arguments						
	Assimilate all the evidence						
	Fail to appreciate all the evidence						
	Quickly comprehend statistical and financial information						
	Are confused by statistical and financial data						
	Process information quickly						
	Are slow to process information						
	Make well-informed business decisions						
	Have poor business judgement						
	Solve problems effectively						
	Are unable to find solutions to problems						
Intended use of	Business managers and graduate-level staff for selection and						
the CRTBi	recruitment, identification for promotion and training.						
battery							
Administration	Online – supervised and unsupervised assessment						
Timing	45 minutes excluding administration time						
Scoring and	Online						
Reporting							
Reports	Standard report						
	Feedback report						
	Group results summary spreadsheet						
Cost	2 credits per scored assessment						

Important notes:

- Although the CRTBi measures the same constructs as the CRTB2, they are not scale equivalent assessments. "Scalar equivalence means that the measurement scale is identical across versions of the assessment" (Van de Vijver, 2011). This means that you cannot expect the same result for a respondent on both subtests, the assessments, they are not the same.
- Note that there is no pencil and paper equivalent test battery to the CRTBi. Users must take this into account when planning assessment projects.

Classification Status of The CRTBi

The HPCSA still publishes a list of classified tests. However, the HPCSA no longer certifies tests. Thus, there is no review process under the auspices of the HPCSA. The function of classification is to limit who may use the test. It no longer indicates that a test has been reviewed for metric quality. There is no fee to be paid and it takes a short while. This CRTBi manual will be submitted to the HPCSA to be classified. Assessment Standards South Africa (ASSA) offers a voluntary review process, and the CRTBi will be submitted to ASSA for review.

Conditions of Use and Professional Responsibilities

The CRTBi must be used under the control of an HPCSA registered Psychologist, Psychometrist (Independent Practice), or Registered Counsellor (Independent Practice).

Purchasing CRTBi Materials and Scoring Services

The CRTBi is only available online due to the nature of the test: it is item-banked. Scoring and reporting is done online on Psytech International's online testing platform. Psytech South Africa offers training on the use of the platform; attendance is required for users to fully benefit from what the platform has to offer and to ensure with the understanding of the platform, that assessments are utilised ethically.

Constructing of Test Batteries

Only an HPCSA registered Psychologist, Psychometrist (Independent Practice) or a Registered Counsellor (Independent Practice) may decide which tests or questionnaires to use for a particular purpose. Psychometrists registered for supervised practice or other role players such as HR Practitioners or line managers may not act independently of the registered psychology professional, and may not overrule their decisions in this regard. Unregistered persons by law are not permitted to perform any psychological act.

Registration Requirements

- The tests may be administered by a HPCSA registered Psychologist, Psychometrist (Supervised Practice), Psychometrist (Independent Practice), Registered Counsellor (Independent Practice) or Psychotechnician.
- Psychometrists (Supervised Practice) have to be supervised by a Psychologist or Psychometrist (Independent Practice).

The CRTBi can only be administered online, supervised or unsupervised. While the test can be done unsupervised, the identity of the respondent needs to be verified. It is still best

practice to administer tests under supervised conditions, as the respondent needs to be able to get help if there are technical problems during the test administration.

The International Test Commission on Test Administration

The International Test Commission (ITC) have devised guidelines for good practice in testing.

"Give due regard to technological issues in Computer-based (CBT) and Internet Testing"

Tests users need to be aware of the software and hardware that are required in order to run the assessment. Ensure that the device you are using is capable of running the assessment. Confirm with the respondent that they have a device capable of running the assessment. Stay up-to-date with new technology.

"Take account of the robustness of the CBT/Internet test"

Test Users need to ensure that there are processes in place should a respondent need assistance. Bearing in mind that unsupervised assessments give the respondent the choice of when to complete the assessment, this might be outside of office hours. The user needs to know how to attain technical support should this be is necessary. Test users are to inform test publishers of problems that occur.

"Consider human factors issues in the presentation of material via computer or the Internet"

Test Users need to be familiar with the presentation of the questions in the assessment, where the instructions will be found, and how instructions can be accessed during the assessment. As well as familiarizing oneself with how the items are presented, and how the respondent is required to respond. Psytech SA advises clients to complete the assessments they plan to use themselves, in order to understand what the respondent will experience.

"Consider reasonable adjustments to the technical features of the test for candidates with disabilities"

When assessing someone with disabilities, the test user needs ensure that the assessment features facilitate the respondent's needs. If the assessment is not suitable, one should consider using an alternative assessment procedure. The ITC mentions making test modifications as a means of addressing the needs of disabled clients. This is not a viable option for the CRTBi. The test may self-adjust for certain disabilities up to a point, but the

recommendation remains valid. There is still a need for individual face-to-face assessments for persons with serious disabilities.

"Provide help, information, and practice items within the CBT/Internet test"

Test users must ensure that they understand the how to access technical support when needed, and be familiar with the system. Ensure the respondent is competent in using an online method of assessment.

The CRTBi has practice items in the beginning of each subtest, as with Psytech's other ability assessments. Should the candidate need additional practice items, please direct respondents to the appropriate practice items for the assessment they will be taking. The practice items should be done prior to starting the assessment. These can be found on the Psytech website (www.psytech.co.za) under the assessment tab. For this purpose, please use the CRTB2 practice items available on Psytech South Africa's website: www.psytech.co.za.

Where appropriate and possible, collect data on the respondent's reactions towards assessment and provide feedback to test developers to help them ensure a more positive experience for test-takers. This also assists with fairness studies.

Scoring of the CRTBi

The CRTBi is scored online, and reports are available immediately. As with Psytech's other ability assessments, results are represented in stanines. The South African norms available for the CRTBi are listed in this user guide, along with the biographical information and descriptive statistics for each norm.

Reporting on the CRTBi

The choice of which computerised report to use should be made by:

• A HPCSA registered Psychologist, Psychometrist (Independent Practice) or a Registered Counsellor (Independent Practice).

Psychometrists (Supervised Practice) and Psychotechnicians should consult with a Psychologist or Psychometrist (Independent Practice) about the most suitable report to use.

Feedback on CRTBi Reports

Feedback on the CRTBi reports may be done by an HPCSA registered Psychologists, Psychometrists (Independent Practice) or Registered Counsellors (Independent Practice). Psychometrists registered for supervised practice may give feedback on the CRTBi within clearly circumscribed guidelines laid down by a Psychologist or Psychometrist (Independent Practice), and provided proper supervision, with regular consultation, is maintained. We recommend using the descriptors: below average, average, and above average when giving a respondent feedback. Below average: stanine 1 - 3, average: stanine 4 - 6, above average: 7 - 9.

A History of the CRTBi in South Africa

In March 2020, South Africa went into a countrywide lockdown due to the Covid-19 virus that had caused an international pandemic. Due to these unusual circumstances, Psytech SA felt it was of critical importance to protect not only our professional practitioners, but also the candidates to be assessed against the risk of infection with a deadly disease. With this in mind, Psytech SA added the CRTBi to the (then) GeneSys online platform in order to assist with the prevailing circumstances. The assessment was widely used during this time.

The CRTBi had been used internationally for several years prior to the pandemic. Psytech SA did not release it then because of requirements for test certification and the difficulty of collecting evidence on a test that was not yet classified. These requirements have now fallen away and tests are classified only in terms of what they measure.

The Effect of Employment Equity in Recruitment and Selection Practices on Test Statistics

One of the legacies of apartheid is that South Africa is a country characterized by imbalances of distribution of opportunities and resources which permeates all spheres of society. The differences are mostly felt in the educational and occupational environments and they still shape the wellbeing and future of the previously oppressed Black majority. One of the mechanisms put in place is Broad-based Economic Empowerment (BEE) aimed at correcting the imbalances of the pasts by giving the Black majority an opportunity to advance and develop. However, the inferior quality of education and other factors that resulted from institutionalized discrimination makes it difficult for most Black candidates to meet the requirements. This extends to their ability to perform above the cut-off point on psychometric tests necessary for joining, promotions and attending high profile courses. The situation is aggravated by the bad reputation of psychometric tests in SA, making it difficult for some

leaders to accept the result. Some perceive them as tools to frustrate processes such as AA, consequently suggesting the exclusion of psychometric tests in any selection processes.

A very common strategy when recruiting candidates in a manner that aims to compensate for previous systemic disadvantagement of some population groups, is as follows:

- Seriously consider every applicant from a formerly disadvantaged background who may possibly meet the requirements of the position.
- Only consider applicants from formerly advantaged backgrounds once a quota of formerly disadvantaged individuals has been met, or when the supply of suitable disadvantaged applicants has been exhausted.

Assessing candidates with psychometric tests incurs a cost for the employer, and employers usually try to minimize costs. Thus, testing normally occurs fairly late in the selection process. Frequently candidates are evaluated on application forms, CVs and interviews before they are tested. Often this means that the candidates from formerly advantaged backgrounds, who are tested, have been more rigorously pre-screened than the candidates from formerly disadvantaged backgrounds. This serves to aggravate the reported group differences on the tests, and can make a test appear more biased than it otherwise would be.

Users are advised to bear this in mind when evaluating the reported figures in this manual. Test users are also welcome to approach Psytech SA when large recruitment projects are being undertaken, so that the project can be planned in such a way as to render less distorted information about the tests. If necessary and justifiable in the interest of research, Psytech SA is willing to subsidize such projects.

Some Cautionary Notes

- No subtest of the CRTBi should be used on its own as a selection instrument. The tests should always form part of an assessment battery that includes other measures, and preferably some non-test information as well.
- It is strongly recommended that a validation/integration interview should follow any assessment by means of tests or questionnaires. The interviewer should use this opportunity to put the test results into perspective relative to the respondent's background and the purpose of the assessment.

- Users should pay attention to the reliability and validity data available relating to the population group on which they intend to use the questionnaire.
- Users should use norm groups that are appropriate for the person being assessed, also bearing in mind the demands of the situation for which the person is being assessed.

Computer-Assisted Reports

Psytech tests and questionnaires are all supported by computer-assisted reports. Some of the tests have a range of computer-assisted reports, allowing instant interpretation of the test results from a variety of perspectives. For the CRTBi, a standard report and a feedback report are available, either as a battery of individually for each subtest. In addition, a results summary spreadsheet is available to generate which is particularly useful as a summarized version of the individual candidates scores, or scores of a group of candidates that have completed the CRTBi. Neither the summary spreadsheet nor the standard report are to be shared with non-professionals. Computerized reports can also be created for specific batteries of measures, integrating the results of ability tests with personality and perhaps interests.

How Do the Computer-Generated Reports Work?

The reports represent an expert system, drawing on numerous built-in relationships between patterns of scores and human behaviour. It would normally take a user many years of experience to gain the knowledge and insight that are contained in this reporting system.

What Are the Advantages of Computer-Generated Reports?

Computer-generated reports ensure that the complete pattern of scores is interpreted every time. No score or combination of scores is overlooked. Everyone is treated in exactly the same way, irrespective of whether the person interpreting the results is having an 'off day' or is pressed for time. This helps to ensure fairness and consistency. Moreover, computer-generated reports save a lot of time, freeing the professional up to add value in the interview, integration of results from other sources and feedback processes.

Are Computer-Assisted Reports Open to Abuse?

Like any powerful tool, computer-assisted reports can be misused. They should not be used to substitute for professional expertise, but rather to supplement and support it. One must remember that these reports are generic - the standard reports do not know anything about the requirements of the positions that the respondent may have applied for. They are also completely unaware of the respondent's background and personal circumstances. They can usually not stand on their own, but must be used as one source of information in the assessment process, and be integrated with other information. This integration and interpretation is highly skilled professional work, and it should not be left to persons who have not had the required training.

In some situations, handing out unaltered computer-generated reports to respondents or line managers without any counselling or explanation, could be considered abuse of these reports. We recommend that the technical appendix in a report, which gives a graphic summary of raw scores and profiles, not be given to untrained persons. The Code of Conduct stipulates that the explanation of assessment results needs to be done using 'language that is reasonably and understandable to the client assessed or to another legally authorised person on behalf of the client."

Integration platforms combine tests from different providers, which helps to speed up the report writing process. It should be noted however, that these systems do not take context into account, nor do they consider the individual needs of respondents. Therefore, as a psychology professional, it is necessary to ensure that these elements are considered and included in the final report. As well as triangulation. Triangulation is the process of combining different sources of information to evaluate a construct, such as psychological assessments, CVs, interviews, scholastic results, etc.

Classical Test Theory

Classical Test Theory (CTT), *also known as true score theory*, has dominated the assessment industry for some time. It is a psychometric theory that predicts the outcomes of an assessments, such as ability level. CTT involves the following foundation:

Observed score = True Score + random error

A respondent's observed score (the score they attain) is equal to the sum of the true score (the score free from error) and an error score. The true score in CTT is one that would be obtained if there were no errors in measurement. However, this was borne from the critical acknowledgement that assessments contain error. Error is the term used to compensate for extraneous factors to the construct of interest. In this case the construct of interest is ability.

Assumptions of Classical Test Theory

Classical Test theory makes the following assumptions about measurement error:

- 1) The expected random error is zero,
- 2) The correlation between the true score and the random error is zero,
- 3) The correlation between the random error and of one variable and the true score of another variable is zero,
- 4) The correlation between errors on distinct measurements is zero.

(Carmines & Woods, 2005)

Some notes on CTT:

- Scores are test and item dependent. All items included in the test need to be administered.
- Norms are sample dependent; this means that a sample representative of the population needs to be obtained and used.
- Reliability is sometimes calculated at a test level across the trait spectrum. Users should consider this when looking at the metric properties of an assessment, i.e., look at the scale-level reliability where necessary.
- Standard error of measurement (SEM) is consistent across an entire population, regardless of the raw test score. SEM is a statistical estimate of the amount of random error in the assessment of results or scores.
- An increase in test length will increase the reliability. Therefore, a longer test is likely
 to appear more reliable. Some believe that longer multiple-choice tests tend to be
 more reliable because more items automatically reduce the error of measurement.
 Indeed, a sufficient number of items must be included to cover the content areas
 tested; however, there are other factors that contribute to how efficiently a test
 measures and separates candidate ability.
- CTT is norm based. This means the statistics are dependent on the representation of the population. This is why Psytech SA encourages our test users to use the largest, latest and most inclusive norm.
- True scores are assumed to be measured at interval level, and normally distributed. However, in practice tests tend to measure on an interval level. As such SEM should always be considered and it should not be assumed that the test is able to measure small differences between people precisely.

Administration Instructions

Respondents are not permitted to use calculators; they may use rough paper for working out. The assessment does not require complex mathematical calculations. When necessary, provide candidates with practise examples.

Verbal subtest administration instructions



Instructions	For each statement you will be asked which of the following three categories correctly describes whether the statement can be inferred from the passage.	
<	TRUE: This means that the statement directly follows from the facts provided within the passage. That is to say, the statement can be logically inferred from the information given in the passage.	>
	CANNOT DETERMINE: This means that there is not enough information provided within the text to infer whether the statement is either true or false.	
	FALSE: This means that it is possible to infer from the information provided within the passage that the statement is definitely not true.	







© Psytech South Africa (Pty) Ltd 2021



further growth is limited as no new radio frequencies are now available. While a few popular radio stations are making substantial profits most, however, are running at a loss. This is mostly due to poor audience figures limiting the amount Example that can be charged for advertising space. In this context, it is expected that some 3/3 radio stations may go bankrupt within the next few years. Commercial radio stations are likely to increase their profitability over the next few years. The correct response to Example 3 is Cannot Determine. It is impossible to infer, from the information provided in the text, whether radio stations in general will become more profitable. Whilst it is noted some stations may go bankrupt, it is not possible to infer from this that audience figures (and as a result advertising revenue) will increase for the remaining radio stations. < > (!) Cannot False True Determine

2

3

1



Numerical subtest administration instructions



Instructions		
	1. Select or change your answers By using the mouse or the corresponding number of keys on the keyboard:	
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	
	2. Press Enter to confirm an answer	
<	This will automatically move you to the next question	
	enter	
	3. Move backwards and forwards	
	Using the arrows keys	
	Page Down C V P	





>

Performance	Economy	Reliability	Safety	Design	cannot say	
1	2	3	4	5	6	

	меп						Women						
ipie	% of Men, within each Age Group, citing each of the												
3	listed Character	istics a	s the m	nost imp	portant	feature	the listed Chara	cteristi	cs as the	e most i	importa	ant	
	of a car.			10.17			feature of a car			10.1-			_
	Characteristic	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	Characteristic	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-6	9
	Performance	52	32	38	32	26	Performance	18	12	8	10	5	_
	Economy	3	16	18	20	27	Economy	17	24	29	28	32	
	Reliability	20	28	18	22	38	Reliability	34	32	24	27	35	
	Safety	5	15	13	22	5	Safety	18	30	32	31	27	
	Design	20	5	13	6	4	Design	13	2	7	4	1	
Perform	ance E	conom	ıy		Reliabi	lity	Safety	,		Desigr	n		cannot say
1		2			3		4			5			6
1	Men	2	A # 6 C		3	h of	4 Women		h A rra (5		ah af	6
1 ple	Men % of Men, withi the listed Chara feature of a car.	2 n each a acteristi	Age Gr	oup, cit he most	3 ing eac t import	h of tant	4 Women % of Women, wi the listed Chara feature of a car.	thin eac	h Age C s as the	5 iroup, c most ir	iting ea nporta	ach of nt	6
ı	Men % of Men, withi the listed Chara feature of a car. Characteristic	2 n each acteristi	Age Gro ics as tl 30-39	oup, cit he most 40-49	3 ing eac t import 50-59	h of tant 60-69	4 Women % of Women, wi the listed Charai feature of a car. Characteristic	thin eac cteristic	h Age C s as the 30-39	5 iroup, c most ir 40-49	iting ea nporta 50-59	ach of nt 60-69	6
l	Men % of Men, withi the listed Chara feature of a car. Characteristic Performance	2 n each acteristi 20-29 52	Age Gro ics as ti 30-39 32	oup, cit he most 40-49 38	3 ing eac t import 50-59 32	h of tant 60-69 26	Women % of Women, wi the listed Chara feature of a car. Characteristic Performance	thin eac cteristic	h Age C s as the 30-39	5 iroup, c most ir 40-49	iting ea nporta 50-59	ach of nt 60-69 5	6
1 Je	Men % of Men, withi the listed Chara feature of a car Characteristic Performance Economy	2 n each acteristi 52 3	Age Gr 30-39 32 16	oup, cit he most 38 18	3 ing eac t import 32 20	h of tant 26 27	Women % of Women, wi the listed Chara- Characteristic Performance Economy	thin eac cteristic 20-29 18 17	h Age C s as the 30-39 4 12 8 24 2	5 iroup, c most ir 40-49	iting ea nporta 50-59 10 28	ach of nt 60-69 5 32	6
ıle	Men % of Men, withi the listed Chara feature of a car Characteristic Performance Economy Reliability	2 n each acteristi 20-29 52 3 20	Age Gro ics as tl 30-39 32 16 28	oup, cit he most 38 18 18	3 50-59 32 20 22	h of tant 26 27 38	Women % of Women, wi the listed Chara- feature of a car. Characteristic Performance Economy Reliability	thin eac cteristic 20-29 18 17 34	h Age C s as the 30-39 12 8 24 2 32 2	5 iroup, c most ir 40-49 3 29 24	iting ea nporta 50-59 10 28 27	ach of nt 60-69 5 32 35	6
ıle	Men % of Men, withi the listed Chara feature of a car Characteristic Performance Economy Reliability Safety	2 n each acteristi 20-29 52 3 20 5	Age Gro ics as ti 30-39 32 16 28 15	oup, cit he most 38 18 18 18 13	3 50-59 32 20 22 22	h of tant 26 27 38 5	4 Women % of Women, wi the listed Charac feature of a car. Characteristic Performance Performance Economy Reliability Safety	thin eac cteristic 20-29 18 17 34 18	h Age C s as the 30-39 12 8 24 2 32 2 30 3	5 iroup, c most ir 40-49 3 29 24 32	iting ea nporta 50-59 10 28 27 31	ach of nt 60-69 5 32 35 27	6
1 ble	Men % of Men, withi the listed Chara feature of a car Characteristic Performance Economy Reliability Safety Design	2 n each acteristi 52 3 20 5 20 5 20	Age Gr 30-39 32 16 28 15 5	oup, cit he most 38 18 18 13 13	3 50-59 32 20 22 22 22 6	h of tant 26 27 38 5 4	4 Women % of Women, wi the listed Charat feature of a car. Characteristic Performance Economy Reliability Safety Design	thin eac 20-29 18 17 34 18 13	h Age C s as the 30-39 4 12 8 24 2 30 3 2 1	5 iroup, c most ir 40-49 3 29 24 32 32 7	iting ea mporta 50-59 10 28 27 31 4	ach of nt 60-69 5 32 35 27 1	6
1 ble	Men % of Men, withi the listed Chara feature of a car Characteristic Performance Economy Reliability Safety Design For me the correct safety and f above 10 tir	2 2 20-29 52 3 20 5 20 en un answer 1 22% iden nes that	Age Gro cs as ti 30-39 32 16 28 15 5 5 der t tifed pe of 5%.	oup, cit he most 38 18 13 13 13 the ac people formanc	3 ing eac t import 50-59 32 20 22 22 6 22 22 6 6 22 22 6 6 22 22 6 5 0 5 9 6 5 9 6 5 9 7 2 2 2 6 5 9 7 7 2 2 2 6 5 9 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	h of tant 60-69 26 27 38 5 4 4 30 ho nance most im	4 Women % of Women, wi the listed Charat feature of a car. Characteristic Performance Economy Reliability Safety Design w many time than safet Of all males below 3 portant feature of a	thin eac cteristic 20-29 18 17 34 18 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 10, 5% ider car. 52% is	h Age C 30-39 12 2 2 30-0 2 7 ore in htfied sjust	5 irroup, c most ir 40-49 3 3 29 29 24 4 32 7 7	iting ea mporta 50-59 10 228 227 31 4 4 ant i s	ach of nt 60-69 5 32 35 27 1	
1 .le 5.1	Men % of Men, withi the listed Chara feature of a car. Characteristic Performance Economy Reliability Safety Design For me (1) The correct safety and 1 above 10 tir	2 20-29 52 3 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Age Gr. ics as tl 30-39 32 16 28 15 5 der t co this qu tified peo	40-49 38 18 13 13 13 the ac periodic section is rformance	3 ing eac t import 32 20 22 22 6 6 9 9 9 9 9 22 22 6 9 9 9 9 9 9	h of tant 60-69 26 27 38 5 4 4 30 hoo nance most im	4 Women % of Women, wi the listed Charat feature of a car. Characteristic Performance Economy Reliability Safety Design ww many time e than safet Of all males below 3 portant feature of a	thin eac cteristic 20-29 18 17 34 18 13 13 nes m y? 0, 5% ider car. 52% is	h Age C s as the 30-39 12 12 12 24 2 32 2 2 2 0 cre in htified i just	5 iroup, c most ir 40-49 3 229 24 24 24 10.4	iting ex mporta 50-59 10 28 27 31 4 4 ant is	60-69 5 32 7 1	6





CRTBi South African Norm Data

Index of South African Norm Groups for The Critical Reasoning Test Battery – item-banked (CRTBi)

Description	Study number
CRTBi Norms SA Aggregate Population 2021	N1
CRTBi Norms SA English Language Group 2021	N2
CRTBi Norms SA Afrikaans Language Group 2021	N3
CRTBi Norms SA Indigenous Language Group 2021	N4

Critical Reasoning Test Battery – item-banked (CRTBi) – Norm Group 1, South Africans, Aggregate Population, Updated 2021

Sample Composition

The sample consisted of South Africans tested by Psytech South Africa and collaborators from June 2015 to March 2021. Since not all respondents completed all the subtests of the CRTBi, biographical characteristics are reported separately for the different subtests.

	Frequency table: Sex					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
М	623	623	57.20845	57.2084		
F	465	1088	42.69972	99.9082		
U	1	1089	0.09183	100.0000		
Missing	0	1089	0.00000	100.0000		

Critical Numerical Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition

	Frequency table: Education					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Grade 12	28	28	2.57117	2.5712		
Post Graduate	460	488	42.24059	44.8118		
Tertiary	446	934	40.95500	85.7668		
Tertiary Cert / Trade	87	1021	7.98898	93.7557		
Missing	68	1089	6.24426	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Race					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
European	180	180	16.52893	16.5289		
Coloured	61	241	5.60147	22.1304		
African	553	794	50.78053	72.9109		
Indian	76	870	6.97888	79.8898		
Asian	8	878	0.73462	80.6244		
Missing	211	1089	19.37557	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Language					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
English	609	609	55.92287	55.9229		
Afrikaans	117	726	10.74380	66.6667		
Setswana	41	767	3.76492	70.4316		
isiXhosa	31	798	2.84665	73.2782		
Sepedi	16	814	1.46924	74.7475		
Sesotho	19	833	1.74472	76.4922		
siSwati	4	837	0.36731	76.8595		
isiZulu	39	876	3.58127	80.4408		
Xitsonga	6	882	0.55096	80.9917		
isiNdebele	5	887	0.45914	81.4509		
Tshivenda	9	896	0.82645	82.2773		
Missing	193	1089	17.72268	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Language Group					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
English	609	609	55.92287	55.9229		
Afrikaans	117	726	10.74380	66.6667		
Indigenous	170	896	15.61065	82.2773		
Missing	193	1089	17.72268	100.0000		

	Descriptive Statistics: Age					
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No.cases
						Missing
Age	39.32755	8.070037	21.00000	62.00000	980	109



	Frequency table: Sex					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
М	624	624	57.19523	57.1952		
F	466	1090	42.71311	99.9083		
U	1	1091	0.09166	100.0000		
Missing	0	1091	0.00000	100.0000		

Critical Verbal Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition

	Frequency table: Education					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Grade 12	28	28	2.56645	2.5665		
Post Graduate	460	488	42.16315	44.7296		
Tertiary	447	935	40.97159	85.7012		
Tertiary Cert / Trade	87	1022	7.97434	93.6755		
Missing	69	1091	6.32447	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Race					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
European	180	180	16.49863	16.4986		
Coloured	61	241	5.59120	22.0898		
African	553	794	50.68744	72.7773		
Indian	77	871	7.05775	79.8350		
Asian	8	879	0.73327	80.5683		
Missing	212	1091	19.43171	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Language					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
English	609	609	55.82035	55.8203		
Afrikaans	117	726	10.72411	66.5445		
Setswana	41	767	3.75802	70.3025		
isiXhosa	31	798	2.84143	73.1439		
Sepedi	16	814	1.46654	74.6104		
Sesotho	19	833	1.74152	76.3520		
siSwati	4	837	0.36664	76.7186		
isiZulu	40	877	3.66636	80.3850		
Xitsonga	6	883	0.54995	80.9349		
isiNdebele	5	888	0.45830	81.3932		
Tshivenda	9	897	0.82493	82.2181		
Missing	194	1091	17.78185	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Language Group						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
English	609	609	55.82035	55.8203			
Afrikaans	117	726	10.72411	66.5445			
Indigenous	171	897	15.67369	82.2181			
Missing	194	1091	17.78185	100.0000			

	Descriptive Statistics: Age								
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No.cases			
						Missing			
Age	39.30408	8.075827	21.00000	62.00000	980	111			



Descriptive Statistics and Frequency Distributions on Critical Reasoning Test Battery Subtests

Critical Numerical Reasoning Test

Descriptive Statistics							
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No.cases	
						Missing	
NCR	12.28650	5.063441	0.00	28.00000	1089	0	



Critical Verbal Reasoning Test

	Descriptive Statistics: Age							
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No.cases		
						Missing		
VCR	28.03300	8.227321	2.000000	47.00000	1091	0		



Stanine table

Scales	Stanine Groups								
	S9_1	S9_2	S9_3	S9_4	S9_5	S9_6	S9_7	S9_8	S9_9
Critical Numerical Reasoning	2-13	14-17	18-21	22-25	26-30	31-34	35-38	39-42	43-47
Critical Verbal Reasoning	0-3	4-5	6-8	9-11	12-13	14-16	17-18	19-21	22-28

Critical Reasoning Test Battery – item-banked (CRTBi) – Norm Group 2 South Africans, English Language Group, Updated 2021

Sample Composition

The sample consisted of South Africans tested by Psytech South Africa and collaborators from June 2015 to March 2021. Since not all respondents completed all the subtests of the CRTBi, biographical characteristics are reported separately for the different subtests.

Critical Numerical Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition

	Frequency table: Sex						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
М	338	338	55.50082	55.5008			
F	271	609	44.49918	100.0000			
Missing	0	609	0.00000	100.0000			

	Frequency table: Education						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
Grade 12	18	18	2.95567	2.9557			
Post Graduate	266	284	43.67816	46.6338			
Tertiary	265	549	43.51396	90.1478			
Tertiary Cert / Trade	55	604	9.03120	99.1790			
Missing	5	609	0.82102	100.0000			
	Frequency table: Race						
----------	-----------------------	------------	----------	------------	--	--	
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
European	72	72	11.82266	11.8227			
Coloured	56	128	9.19540	21.0181			
African	328	456	53.85878	74.8768			
Indian	76	532	12.47947	87.3563			
Asian	7	539	1.14943	88.5057			
Missing	70	609	11.49425	100.0000			

_	Frequency table: Language					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
English	609	609	100.0000	100.0000		
Missing	0	609	0.0000	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Language Group					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
English	609	609	100.0000	100.0000		
Missing	0	609	0.0000	100.0000		

	Descriptive Statistics: Age						
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No.cases	
						Missing	
Age	38.87590	7.832991	21.00000	61.00000	556	53	



	Frequency table: Sex					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
М	338	338	55.50082	55.5008		
F	271	609	44.49918	100.0000		
Missing	0	609	0.00000	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Education					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Grade 12	18	18	2.95567	2.9557		
Post Graduate	265	283	43.51396	46.4696		
Tertiary	266	549	43.67816	90.1478		
Tertiary Cert / Trade	55	604	9.03120	99.1790		
Missing	5	609	0.82102	100.0000		

_	Frequency table: Race						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
European	72	72	11.82266	11.8227			
Coloured	56	128	9.19540	21.0181			
African	327	455	53.69458	74.7126			
Indian	77	532	12.64368	87.3563			
Asian	7	539	1.14943	88.5057			
Missing	70	609	11.49425	100.0000			

	Frequency table: Language					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
English	609	609	100.0000	100.0000		
Missing	0	609	0.0000	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Language Group					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
English	609	609	100.0000	100.0000		
Missing	0	609	0.0000	100.0000		

	Descriptive Statistics: Age						
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases	
						Missing	
Age	38.85045	7.839153	21.00000	61.00000	555	54	



Descriptive Statistics and Frequency Distributions on Critical Reasoning Test Battery – Item-Banked Subtests

Critical Numerical Reasoning Test

	Descriptive Statistics						
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases	
						Missing	
NCR	12.43514	4.822113	0.00	28.00000	609	0	



Critical Verbal Reasoning Test

	Descriptive Statistics						
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases	
						Missing	
VCR	28.93760	7.814211	3.000000	47.00000	609	0	



Stanine table

Scales	Stanine Groups								
	S9_1	S9_2	S9_3	S9_4	S9_5	S9_6	S9_7	S9_8	S9_9
Critical Verbal Reasoning	3-15	16-19	20-23	24-26	27-30	31-34	35-38	39-42	43-47
Critical Numerical Reasoning	0-3	4-6	7-8	9-11	12-13	14-16	17-18	19-20	21-28

Critical Reasoning Test Battery – Item-Banked (CRTBi) – Norm Group 3 South Africans, Afrikaans Language Group, Updated 2021

Sample Composition

The sample consisted of South Africans tested by Psytech South Africa and collaborators from June 2015 to March 2021. Since not all respondents completed all the subtests of the CRTBi, biographical characteristics are reported separately for the different subtests.

	Frequency table: Sex					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
М	65	65	55.55556	55.5556		
F	52	117	44.44444	100.0000		
Missing	0	117	0.00000	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Education					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Grade 12	6	6	5.12821	5.1282		
Post Graduate	37	43	31.62393	36.7521		
Tertiary	60	103	51.28205	88.0342		
Tertiary Cert / Trade	12	115	10.25641	98.2906		
Missing	2	117	1.70940	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Race					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
European	105	105	89.74359	89.7436		

	Frequency table: Race					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Coloured	4	109	3.41880	93.1624		
African	5	114	4.27350	97.4359		
Missing	3	117	2.56410	100.0000		

_	Frequency table: Language					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Afrikaans	117	117	100.0000	100.0000		
Missing	0	117	0.0000	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Language Group					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Afrikaans	117	117	100.0000	100.0000		
Missing	0	117	0.0000	100.0000		

	Descriptive Statistics: Age							
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases		
						Missing		
Age	40.63551	9.299414	22.00000	60.00000	107	10		



	Frequency table: Sex					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
М	65	65	55.55556	55.5556		
F	52	117	44.44444	100.0000		
Missing	0	117	0.00000	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Education					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Grade 12	6	6	5.12821	5.1282		
Post Graduate	37	43	31.62393	36.7521		
Tertiary	60	103	51.28205	88.0342		
Tertiary Cert / Trade	12	115	10.25641	98.2906		
Missing	2	117	1.70940	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Race					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
European	105	105	89.74359	89.7436		
Coloured	4	109	3.41880	93.1624		
African	5	114	4.27350	97.4359		
Missing	3	117	2.56410	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Language					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Afrikaans	117	117	100.0000	100.0000		
Missing	0	117	0.0000	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Language Group						
Category	Count	ount Cumulative Per		Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
Afrikaans	117	117	100.0000	100.0000			
Missing	0	117	0.0000	100.0000			

	Descriptive Statistics: Age						
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases	
						Missing	
Age:	40.63551	9.299414	22.00000	60.00000	107	10	



Descriptive Statistics and Frequency Distributions on Critical Reasoning Test Battery – Item-Banked Subtests

Critical Numerical Reasoning Test

	Descriptive Statistics								
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases			
						Missing			
NCR	13.56410	5.599237	0.00	25.00000	117	0			



Critical Verbal Reasoning Test

	Descriptive Statistics							
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	N	No. cases		
						Missing		
VCR	30.07692	8.361605	2.000000	45.00000	117	0		



Stanine table

Scales	Stanine Groups								
	S9_1	S9_2	S9_3	S9_4	S9_5	S9_6	S9_7	S9_8	S9_9
Critical Verbal Reasoning	2-15	16-19	20-23	24-27	28-32	33-36	37-40	41-44	45-45
Critical Numerical Reasoning	0-3	4-6	7-9	10-12	13-14	15-17	18-20	21-23	24-25

Critical Reasoning Test Battery – Item-Banked (CRTBi) – Norm Group 4 South Africans, Indigenous Language Group, Updated 2021

Sample Composition

The sample consisted of South Africans tested by Psytech South Africa and collaborators from June 2015 to March 2021. Since not all respondents completed all the subtests of the CRTBi, biographical characteristics are reported separately for the different subtests.

	Frequency table: Sex						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
М	99	99	57.89474	57.8947			
F	72	171	42.10526	100.0000			
Missing	0	171	0.00000	100.0000			

	Frequency table: Education							
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative				
		Count		Percent				
Grade 12	1	1	0.58480	0.5848				
Post Graduate	79	80	46.19883	46.7836				
Tertiary	71	151	41.52047	88.3041				
Tertiary Cert / Trade	19	170	11.11111	99.4152				
Missing	1	171	0.58480	100.0000				

	Frequency table: Race						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
African	162	162	94.73684	94.7368			
Missing	9	171	5.26316	100.0000			

	Frequency table: Language						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
Setswana	41	41	24.11765	24.1176			
isiXhosa	31	72	18.23529	42.3529			
Sepedi	16	88	9.41176	51.7647			
Sesotho	19	107	11.17647	62.9412			
siSwati	4	111	2.35294	65.2941			
isiZulu	39	150	22.94118	88.2353			
Xitsonga	6	156	3.52941	91.7647			
isiNdebele	5	161	2.94118	94.7059			
Tshivenda	9	170	5.29412	100.0000			
Missing	0	170	0.00000	100.0000			

_	Frequency table: Language Group						
Category	Count	Count Cumulative		Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
Indigenous	170	170	100.0000	100.0000			
Missing	0	170	0.0000	100.0000			

_	Frequency table: Sex						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
М	98	98	57.64706	57.6471			
F	72	170	42.35294	100.0000			
Missing	0	170	0.00000	100.0000			

Descriptive Statistics: Age						
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases
						Missing
Age	40.18471	8.473807	25.00000	60.00000	157	13



	Frequency table: Sex						
Category	Count	Cumulative Percent		Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
М	99	99	57.89474	57.8947			
F	72	171	42.10526	100.0000			
Missing	0	171	0.00000	100.0000			

	Frequency	Frequency table: Education							
Category	Count	Count Cumulative		Cumulative					
		Count		Percent					
Grade 12	1	1	0.58480	0.5848					
Post Graduate	79	80	46.19883	46.7836					
Tertiary	71	151	41.52047	88.3041					
Tertiary Cert / Trade	19	170	11.11111	99.4152					
Missing	1	171	0.58480	100.0000					

	Frequency table: Race						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
African	162	162	94.73684	94.7368			
Missing	9	171	5.26316	100.0000			

_	Frequency table: Language						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
Setswana	41	41	23.97661	23.9766			
isiXhosa	31	72	18.12865	42.1053			
Sepedi	16	88	9.35673	51.4620			
Sesotho	19	107	11.11111	62.5731			
siSwati	4	111	2.33918	64.9123			
isiZulu	40	151	23.39181	88.3041			
Xitsonga	6	157	3.50877	91.8129			
isiNdebele	5	162	2.92398	94.7368			
Tshivenda	9	171	5.26316	100.0000			
Missing	0	171	0.00000	100.0000			

	Frequency table: Language Group						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
Indigenous	171	171	100.0000	100.0000			
Missing	0	171	0.0000	100.0000			

Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases
						Missing
Age	40.12025	8.485550	25.00000	60.00000	158	13



Descriptive Statistics and Frequency Distributions on Critical Reasoning Test Battery – Item-Banked Subtests

Critical Numerical Reasoning Test

	Descriptive Statistics							
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases		
						Missing		
NCR	10.34706	4.085277	1.000000	24.00000	170	0		



Critical Verbal Reasoning Test

	Descriptive Statistics							
Variable	Mean Std.Dev		Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases		
						Missing		
VCR	25.51462	7.953066	5.000000	44.00000	171	0		



Stanine table

Scales	Stanine Groups								
	S9_1	S9_2	S9_3	S9_4	S9_5	S9_6	S9_7	S9_8	S9_9
Critical Numerical Reasoning	5-11	12-15	16-19	20-23	24-27	28-31	32-35	36-39	40-44
Critical Verbal Reasoning	1-3	4-5	6-7	8-9	10-11	12-13	14-15	16-17	18-24

Index of Reliability Studies Done on The Critical Reasoning Test Battery – Item-Banked (CRTBi)

Description	Study number
SA Aggregate Population 2021	R1
SA English Language Group 2021	R2
SA Afrikaans Language Group 2021	R3
SA Indigenous Language Group 2021	R4

Critical Reasoning Test Battery – item-banked (CRTBi)- Reliability Group 1 South Africans, Aggregate Population, Updated 2021

Sample Composition

The sample consisted of South Africans tested by Psytech South Africa and collaborators from June 2015 to March 2021. Since not all respondents completed all the subtests of the CRTBi, biographical characteristics are reported separately for the different subtests.

_	Frequency table: Sex						
Category	Count	Count Cumulative		Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
М	623	623	57.20845	57.2084			
F	465	1088	42.69972	99.9082			
U	1	1089	0.09183	100.0000			
Missing	0	1089	0.00000	100.0000			

Ostanan	Frequency table: Education						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
Grade 12	28	28	2.57117	2.5712			
Post Graduate	460	488	42.24059	44.8118			
Tertiary	446	934	40.95500	85.7668			
Tertiary Cert / Trade	87	1021	7.98898	93.7557			
Missing	68	1089	6.24426	100.0000			

	Frequency table: Race					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
European	180	180	16.52893	16.5289		

O. I.	Frequency table: Race				
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative	
		Count		Percent	
Coloured	61	241	5.60147	22.1304	
African	553	794	50.78053	72.9109	
Indian	76	870	6.97888	79.8898	
Asian	8	878	0.73462	80.6244	
Missing	211	1089	19.37557	100.0000	

	Frequency table: Language				
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative	
		Count		Percent	
English	609	609	55.92287	55.9229	
Afrikaans	117	726	10.74380	66.6667	
Setswana	41	767	3.76492	70.4316	
isiXhosa	31	798	2.84665	73.2782	
Sepedi	16	814	1.46924	74.7475	
Sesotho	19	833	1.74472	76.4922	
siSwati	4	837	0.36731	76.8595	
isiZulu	39	876	3.58127	80.4408	
Xitsonga	6	882	0.55096	80.9917	
isiNdebele	5	887	0.45914	81.4509	
Tshivenda	9	896	0.82645	82.2773	
Missing	193	1089	17.72268	100.0000	

Ostanan	Frequency table: Language Group				
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative	
		Count		Percent	
English	609	609	55.92287	55.9229	
Afrikaans	117	726	10.74380	66.6667	
Indigenous	170	896	15.61065	82.2773	
Missing	193	1089	17.72268	100.0000	

) (orighte	Descriptive Statistics: Age					
variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases
						Missing
Age	39.32755	8.070037	21.00000	62.00000	980	109



	Frequency table: Sex				
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative	
		Count		Percent	
М	624	624	57.19523	57.1952	
F	466	1090	42.71311	99.9083	
U	1	1091	0.09166	100.0000	
Missing	0	1091	0.00000	100.0000	

October	Frequency table: Education				
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative	
		Count		Percent	
Grade 12	28	28	2.56645	2.5665	
Post Graduate	460	488	42.16315	44.7296	
Tertiary	447	935	40.97159	85.7012	

Ostanan	Frequency table: Education				
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative	
		Count		Percent	
Tertiary Cert / Trade	87	1022	7.97434	93.6755	
Missing	69	1091	6.32447	100.0000	

	Frequency table: Race				
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative	
		Count		Percent	
European	180	180	16.49863	16.4986	
Coloured	61	241	5.59120	22.0898	
African	553	794	50.68744	72.7773	
Indian	77	871	7.05775	79.8350	
Asian	8	879	0.73327	80.5683	
Missing	212	1091	19.43171	100.0000	

	Frequency table: Language				
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative	
		Count		Percent	
English	609	609	55.82035	55.8203	
Afrikaans	117	726	10.72411	66.5445	
Setswana	41	767	3.75802	70.3025	
isiXhosa	31	798	2.84143	73.1439	
Sepedi	16	814	1.46654	74.6104	
Sesotho	19	833	1.74152	76.3520	
siSwati	4	837	0.36664	76.7186	
isiZulu	40	877	3.66636	80.3850	
Xitsonga	6	883	0.54995	80.9349	
isiNdebele	5	888	0.45830	81.3932	
Tshivenda	9	897	0.82493	82.2181	
Missing	194	1091	17.78185	100.0000	

Catagony	Frequency table: Language Group				
Category	Count	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent	
English	609	609	55.82035	55.8203	

0.1	Frequency table: Language Group					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Afrikaans	117	726	10.72411	66.5445		
Indigenous	171	897	15.67369	82.2181		
Missing	194	1091	17.78185	100.0000		

.,	Descriptive Statistics: Age					
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	N	No. cases
						Missing
Age	39.30408	8.075827	21.00000	62.00000	980	111



Internal Consistency Reliabilities for the Critical Reasoning Test Battery - item-banked Subtests

Subtest	Cronbach Coefficient Alpha
Critical Numerical Reasoning Test	0,76
Critical Verbal Reasoning Test	0,86

Critical Reasoning Test Battery – Item-Banked (CRTBi)- Reliability Group 2 South Africans, English Language Group, Updated 2021

Sample Composition

The sample consisted of South Africans tested by Psytech South Africa and collaborators from June 2015 to March 2021. Since not all respondents completed all the subtests of the CRTBi, biographical characteristics are reported separately for the different subtests.

	Frequency table: Sex					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
М	338	338	55.50082	55.5008		
F	271	609	44.49918	100.0000		
Missing	0	609	0.00000	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Education					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Grade 12	18	18	2.95567	2.9557		
Post Graduate	266	284	43.67816	46.6338		
Tertiary	265	549	43.51396	90.1478		
Tertiary Cert / Trade	55	604	9.03120	99.1790		
Missing	5	609	0.82102	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Race					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
European	72	72	11.82266	11.8227		
Coloured	56	128	9.19540	21.0181		
African	328	456	53.85878	74.8768		
Indian	76	532	12.47947	87.3563		
Asian	7	539	1.14943	88.5057		
Missing	70	609	11.49425	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Language				
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative	
		Count		Percent	
English	609	609	100.0000	100.0000	
Missing	0	609	0.0000	100.0000	

_	Frequency table: Language Group				
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative	
		Count		Percent	
English	609	609	100.0000	100.0000	
Missing	0	609	0.0000	100.0000	

	Descriptive Statistics: Age					
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases
						Missing
Age	38.87590	7.832991	21.00000	61.00000	556	53



	Frequency table: Education					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Grade 12	18	18	2.95567	2.9557		
Post Graduate	265	283	43.51396	46.4696		
Tertiary	266	549	43.67816	90.1478		
Tertiary Cert / Trade	55	604	9.03120	99.1790		
Missing	5	609	0.82102	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Race					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
European	72	72	11.82266	11.8227		
Coloured	56	128	9.19540	21.0181		
African	327	455	53.69458	74.7126		
Indian	77	532	12.64368	87.3563		
Asian	7	539	1.14943	88.5057		
Missing	70	609	11.49425	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Language				
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative	
		Count		Percent	
English	609	609	100.0000	100.0000	
Missing	0	609	0.0000	100.0000	

	Frequency table: Language Group				
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative	
		Count		Percent	
English	609	609	100.0000	100.0000	
Missing	0	609	0.0000	100.0000	

	Frequency table: Sex					
Category	Count Cumulative Pe		Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
М	338	338	55.50082	55.5008		
F	271	609	44.49918	100.0000		
Missing	0	609	0.00000	100.0000		

Descriptive Statistics: Age						
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases
						Missing
Age	38.85045	7.839153	21.00000	61.00000	555	54



Internal Consistency Reliabilities for the Critical Reasoning Test Battery – Item-Banked Subtests

Subtest	Cronbach Coefficient Alpha
Critical Numerical Reasoning Test	0,74
Critical Verbal Reasoning Test	0,85

Critical Reasoning Test Battery – Item-Banked (CRTBi) – Reliability Group 3 South Africans, Afrikaans Language Group, Updated 2021

Sample Composition

The sample consisted of South Africans tested by Psytech South Africa and collaborators from June 2015 to March 2021. Since not all respondents completed all the subtests of the CRTBi, biographical characteristics are reported separately for the different subtests.

	Frequency table: Sex						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
М	65	65	55.55556	55.5556			
F	52	117	44.44444	100.0000			
Missing	0	117	0.00000	100.0000			

	Frequency table: Education						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
Grade 12	6	6	5.12821	5.1282			
Post Graduate	37	43	31.62393	36.7521			
Tertiary	60	103	51.28205	88.0342			
Tertiary Cert / Trade	12	115	10.25641	98.2906			
Missing	2	117	1.70940	100.0000			

	Frequency table: Race					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
European	105	105	89.74359	89.7436		
Coloured	4	109	3.41880	93.1624		

	Frequency table: Race					
Category	Count Cumulative		Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
African	5	114	4.27350	97.4359		
Missing	3	117	2.56410	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Language					
Category	Count Cumulative I		Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Afrikaans	117	117	100.0000	100.0000		
Missing	0	117	0.0000	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Language Group					
Category	Count Cumulative		Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Afrikaans	117	117	100.0000	100.0000		
Missing	0	117	0.0000	100.0000		

Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases
						Missing
Age	40.63551	9.299414	22.00000	60.00000	107	10


Critical Verbal Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition

	Frequency table: Sex					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Μ	65	65	55.55556	55.5556		
F	52	117	44.44444	100.0000		
Missing	0	117	0.00000	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Education					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Grade 12	6	6	5.12821	5.1282		
Post Graduate	37	43	31.62393	36.7521		
Tertiary	60	103	51.28205	88.0342		
Tertiary Cert / Trade	12	115	10.25641	98.2906		
Missing	2	117	1.70940	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Race						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
European	105	105	89.74359	89.7436			
Coloured	4	109	3.41880	93.1624			
African	5	114	4.27350	97.4359			
Missing	3	117	2.56410	100.0000			

	Frequency table: Language					
Category	Count Cumulative		Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Afrikaans	117	117	100.0000	100.0000		
Missing	0	117	0.0000	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Language Group					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Afrikaans	117	117	100.0000	100.0000		

_	Frequency table: Language Group					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Missing	0	117	0.0000	100.0000		

Descriptive Statistics						
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases
						Missing
Age	40.63551	9.299414	22.00000	60.00000	107	10



Internal Consistency Reliabilities for the Critical Reasoning Test Battery – Item-Banked Subtests

Subtest	Cronbach Coefficient Alpha
Critical Numerical Reasoning Test	0,810902
Critical Verbal Reasoning Test	0,875090

Critical Reasoning Test Battery – Item-Banked (CRTBi) – Reliability Group 4 South Africans, Indigenous Language Group, Updated 2021

Sample Composition

The sample consisted of South Africans tested by Psytech South Africa and collaborators from June 2015 to March 2021. Since not all respondents completed all the subtests of the CRTBi, biographical characteristics are reported separately for the different subtests.

	Frequency table: Sex					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
М	99	99	57.89474	57.8947		
F	72	171	42.10526	100.0000		
Missing	0	171	0.00000	100.0000		

Critical Numerical Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition

	Frequency table: Education					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Grade 12	1	1	0.58480	0.5848		
Post Graduate	79	80	46.19883	46.7836		
Tertiary	71	151	41.52047	88.3041		
Tertiary Cert / Trade	19	170	11.11111	99.4152		
Missing	1	171	0.58480	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Race				
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative	
		Count		Percent	
African	162	162	94.73684	94.7368	
Missing	9	171	5.26316	100.0000	

	Frequency table: Language					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Setswana	41	41	23.97661	23.9766		
isiXhosa	31	72	18.12865	42.1053		
Sepedi	16	88	9.35673	51.4620		
Sesotho	19	107	11.11111	62.5731		
siSwati	4	111	2.33918	64.9123		
isiZulu	40	151	23.39181	88.3041		
Xitsonga	6	157	3.50877	91.8129		
isiNdebele	5	162	2.92398	94.7368		
Tshivenda	9	171	5.26316	100.0000		
Missing	0	171	0.00000	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Language Group						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
Indigenous	171	171	100.0000	100.0000			
Missing	0	171	0.0000	100.0000			

	Descriptive Statistics							
Variable	Mean Std.Dev		Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases		
						Missing		
Age	40.12025	8.485550	25.00000	60.00000	158	13		



Critical Verbal Reasoning Test: Biographical Composition

	Frequency table: Sex						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
М	98	98	57.64706	57.6471			
F	72	170	42.35294	100.0000			
Missing	0	170	0.00000	100.0000			

	Frequency table: Education						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
Grade 12	1	1	0.58824	0.5882			
Post Graduate	78	79	45.88235	46.4706			
Tertiary	71	150	41.76471	88.2353			
Tertiary Cert / Trade	19	169	11.17647	99.4118			
Missing	1	170	0.58824	100.0000			

	Frequency table: Race					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
African	161	161	94.70588	94.7059		
Missing	9	170	5.29412	100.0000		

	Frequency table: Language						
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative			
		Count		Percent			
Setswana	41	41	24.11765	24.1176			
isiXhosa	31	72	18.23529	42.3529			
Sepedi	16	88	9.41176	51.7647			
Sesotho	19	107	11.17647	62.9412			
siSwati	4	111	2.35294	65.2941			
isiZulu	39	150	22.94118	88.2353			
Xitsonga	6	156	3.52941	91.7647			
isiNdebele	5	161	2.94118	94.7059			
Tshivenda	9	170	5.29412	100.0000			
Missing	0	170	0.00000	100.0000			

	Frequency table: Language Group					
Category	Count	Cumulative	Percent	Cumulative		
		Count		Percent		
Indigenous	170	170	100.0000	100.0000		
Missing	0	170	0.0000	100.0000		

Descriptive Statistics						
Variable	Mean Std.Dev		Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases
						Missing
Age	40.18471	8.473807	25.00000	60.00000	157	13



Internal Consistency Reliabilities for the Critical Reasoning Test Battery -Item-Banked Subtests

Subtest	Cronbach Coefficient Alpha
Critical Numerical Reasoning Test	0,651813
Critical Verbal Reasoning Test	0,851489

T-Test Results – CRTBi and CRTB2

CRTBi and CRTB2 Verbal T-test

	T-tests; Grou	T-tests; Grouping: Test (Dataset for Verbal)						
Variable	Group 1: CR	Group 1: CRTBi						
	Group 2: CR	Group 2: CRTBtwo						
	Mean	Mean	t-value	df	р	Valid N	Valid N	
	CRTBi	CRTBtwo				CRTBi	CRTBtwo	
Verbal Raw Score	27.98170	15.88373	47.47050	5787	0.00	1093	4696	

	T-tests; Grouping: Test (Dataset for Verbal)					
Variable	Group 1: CRTBi					
	Group 2: CRTBtwo					
	Std.Dev. Std.Dev.		F-ratio	р		
	CRTBi	CRTBtwo	Variances	Variances		
Verbal Raw Score	8.306714	7.411595	1.256132	0.000001		



CRTBi and CRTB2 Numerical t-test

	T-tests; Grou	-tests; Grouping: Test (Dataset for Numerical)						
Variable	Group 1: CR	Group 1: CRTBi						
	Group 2: CR	roup 2: CRTBtwo						
	Mean	Mean	t-value	df	р	Valid N	Valid N	
	CRTBi	CRTBtwo				CRTBi	CRTBtwo	
Numerical Raw Score	12.24154	8.950609	19.23665	5768	0.00	1093	4677	

	T tooto, Orouning, Toot (Dotopot for Numerical)						
	T-lesis, Grouping. Test (Dalaset for Numerical)						
Variable	Group 1: CRTBi						
	Group 2: CR	Group 2: CRTBtwo					
	Std.Dev.	Std.Dev. Std.Dev.		р			
	CRTBi	CRTBtwo	Variances	Variances			
Numerical Raw Score	5.108371	5.088263	1.007919	0.860357			



ANOVAs and Power Analyses - CRTBi

Sample Composition

This sample consists of 1093 respondents who have completed the Critical Reasoning Test Battery- item-banked from April 2014 to March 2021.

Sex



Education Level



Note: The Non-Tertiary education group has a sample size of 28. This is because the CRTBi is aimed at graduate level staff.

Race



Language Group



Power Analyses

Why use Power Analysis?

Power Analysis is a statistical concept that estimates a good sample size for a study, effect size, or level of significance or statistical power. All four variables are linked with each other and changing one variable impacts the rest of the variables. Power Analysis is the process of estimating one of the 4 variables given values for the 3 variables.

Power analysis depends on four related variables:

- 1) Effect size: The more prominent effect the data carries, the lesser the random error
- 2) Sample size: larger sample size helps detects smaller effects
- 3) Level of Significance: α
- 4) Statistical Power

Although commonly used to calculate the appropriate sample size for a study, it has here been used to calculate effect size.

Effect size is a statistical concept that measures the strength of the relationship between two variables on a numeric scale. For instance, if we have data on the height of men and women and we notice that, on average, men are taller than women, the difference between the height of men and the height of women is known as the effect size. The greater the effect size, the greater the height difference between men and women will be (Statistics Solutions, 2021).

Power Analyses for the CRTBi were calculated using a pooled SD. Three different analyses were used, Cohen's d, Glasses Delta and Hedges' G. Cohen's d and Glasses Delta, which assume equal sample sizes. Hedge's G is an alternative as it provides a measure of effect size according to the relevant size of the sample. The results for all three are posted below. Power analyses are done in order to determine the practical significance of the differences between groups. When analyses are run on large sample sizes, it is common to observe statistically significant differences due to the large number of responses. Whether these differences translate into actually differences observed is determine by a power analysis: this is known as effect size.

When interpreting the coefficient, the general guideline is as follows:

- small (0.2)
- medium (0.5)

- large (0.8)

Only a large coefficient has an impact, smaller differences do not identify differences that would make an impact in real-life situations. Therefore, below 0,8 the difference between groups does not carry a practical implication.

When looking at the below, it can be seen that there are no significant differences between the groups. This indicates that the test can be used on different groups in South Africa.

Sex

	Descriptive Statistics: Sex='M'					
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases
						Missing
NCR	12.93760	5.187597	0.00	28.00000	625	0
VCR	28.18720	8.319838	0.00	47.00000	625	0

	Descriptive Statistics: Sex='F'						
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases	
						Missing	
NCR	11.31906	4.855301	0.00	27.00000	467	0	
VCR	27.71520	8.297205	0.00	45.00000	467	0	

Numerical

Cohen's d = (11.31906 - 12.9376)/5.024197 = 0.322149Glass's delta = (11.31906 - 12.9376)/5.1875975 = 0.312002Hedges' g = (11.31906 - 12.9376)/5.04821 = 0.320617

Verbal

Cohen's d = (27.7152 - 28.1872)/8.308529 = 0.056809Glass's delta = (27.7152 - 28.1872)/8.319838 = 0.056732Hedges' g = (27.7152 - 28.1872)/8.310169 = 0.056798

Education Level

Descriptive Statistics: Education Level='Tertiary'						
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases
						Missing
NCR	12.37550	5.089112	0.00	28.00000	996	0
VCR	28.21486	8.243409	0.00	47.00000	996	0

	Descriptive Statistics: Education Level='non-Tertiary'					
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases
						Missing
NCR	10.71429	4.609629	5.00000	22.00000	28	0
VCR	25.03571	9.199364	10.00000	40.00000	28	0

Numerical

Cohen's d = (10.71429 - 12.3755)/4.855293 = 0.342144Glass's delta = (10.71429 - 12.3755)/5.089112 = 0.326424Hedges' g = (10.71429 - 12.3755)/5.077027 = 0.327201

Verbal

Cohen's d = (25.03571 - 28.21486)/8.734475 = 0.363977Glass's delta = (25.03571 - 28.21486)/8.243409 = 0.38566Hedges' g = (25.03571 - 28.21486)/8.270085 = 0.384416

English First Language or Second Language

	Descriptive Statistics: English First Language					
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases
						Missing
NCR	12.39444	4.866402	0.00	28.00000	611	0
VCR	28.84288	7.974849	0.00	47.00000	611	0

	Descriptive Statistics: English Second Language					
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases
						Missing
NCR	11.61806	5.046814	0.000000	25.00000	288	0
VCR	27.36806	8.412076	2.000000	45.00000	288	0

Numerical

Cohen's d = (11.61806 - 12.39444)/4.957429 = 0.156609Glass's delta = (11.61806 - 12.39444)/4.866402 = 0.159539Hedges' g = (11.61806 - 12.39444)/4.924845 = 0.157646

Verbal

Cohen's d = (27.36806 - 28.84288)/8.196378 = 0.179936Glass's delta = (27.36806 - 28.84288)/7.974849 = 0.184934Hedges' g = (27.36806 - 28.84288)/8.117305 = 0.181688

Race

Due to small sample sizes, Indian, Asian, and Coloured respondents were grouped together to form the group 'Other'.

	Descriptive Statistics: Race = European					
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases
						Missing
NCR	13.95556	5.403105	0.000000	27.00000	180	0
VCR	30.71667	8.292337	2.000000	47.00000	180	0

	Descriptivo Statistics: Paco - African					
	Descriptive Statistics: Race = African					
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases
						Missing
NCR	11.31227	4.584938	0.00	26.00000	554	0
VCR	26.65343	7.843111	0.00	45.00000	554	0

	Descriptive Statistics: Race = Other					
Variable	Mean	Std.Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Ν	No. cases
						Missing
NCR	12.45890	5.035223	0.00000	28.00000	146	0
VCR	30.62329	7.096517	10.00000	46.00000	146	0

European and African

Numerical

Cohen's d = (11.31227 - 13.95556)/5.010748 = 0.527524Glass's delta = (11.31227 - 13.95556)/5.403105 = 0.489217Hedges' g = (11.31227 - 13.95556)/4.797919 = 0.550925

Verbal

Cohen's d = (26.65343 - 30.71667)/8.07085 = 0.503446Glass's delta = (26.65343 - 30.71667)/8.292337 = 0.489999Hedges' g = (26.65343 - 30.71667)/7.955306 = 0.510758

European and Other

Numerical

Cohen's d = (12.4589 - 13.95556)/5.222404 = 0.286584Glass's delta = (12.4589 - 13.95556)/5.403105 = 0.277Hedges' g = (12.4589 - 13.95556)/5.241659 = 0.285532

Verbal

Cohen's d = (30.62329 - 30.71667)/7.717623 = 0.0121Glass's delta = (30.62329 - 30.71667)/8.292337 = 0.011261Hedges' g = (30.62329 - 30.71667)/7.779926 = 0.012003

The power analyses show that there are no practically significant differences between the groups.

Executive Summary

The CRTBi is similar in difficulty to the CRTB2, but is suitable for remote administration as it is an item-banked test. The T-Tests show a statistically significant difference between the difficulty levels of the two tests. As such, we ran power analyses to determine whether or not this different is practically significant, as statistical significance can be affected by sample size. The power analyses show that there is no practically significant differences between the two tests in terms of difficulty. It is important to remember that the tests should *not* be considered equivalent. As such, respondents who have done the different versions should not be directly compared with each other.

Bibliography

Reliability

 https://www.rasch.org/mra/mra-02-09.htm#:~:text=Some%20believe%20that%20longer%20multiple,reduce%20the%20 error%20of%20measurement.&text=This%20reliability%20index%20is%20appropriat e,and%20those%20who%20are%20not.

SEM

 http://www.practicalstats.labanca.net/index.php?title=Standard_Error_of_Measureme nt

CAT

- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Computerized_adaptive_testing
- https://www.ncsbn.org/1216.htm

ITC

International Test Commission (2005). International Guidelines on Computer-Based and Internet Delivered Testing. [www.intestcom.org]

Fluid and crystallized intelligence

- https://www.simplypsychology.org/fluid-crystallized-intelligence.html

Power Analysis

- https://statisticsbyjim.com/hypothesis-testing/sample-size-power-analysis/
- https://www.statisticssolutions.com/statistical-analyses-effect-size/